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## 1. Introduction

A subset of a metric space is totally bounded if, for every $\epsilon>0$, it can be covered by a finite number of sets, each with diameter $\leqslant 2 \epsilon$. If $A$ is totally bounded, $N_{\epsilon}(A)$ is the smallest number of sets in such a covering. Using $N_{\epsilon}(A)$ as a coarse indicator of the size of a set, G. Strang introduced the notion that a set can have a nucleus, a pre-eminent element at which the mass of the set is concentrated (see [1]). Using the terminology of [2], we say that $x$ is the nucleus of $A$, if, for every neighbourhood, $V$, of $x$,

$$
N_{\epsilon}(A \cap V) \sim N_{\epsilon}(A) \quad(\epsilon \rightarrow 0) .
$$

In heuristic terms, $x$ is the nucleus of $A$, if every neighbourhood of $x$ in $A$ is asymptotically as large as all of $A$. Fix $L>0$ and $T>0$. Let $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\ldots<$ $t_{m-1}<t_{m}=T$ and let $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{m}$ be fixed constants with $c_{m} \neq 0$. Using the uniform metric $\left(d(F, G)=\sup _{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T}|F(t)-G(t)|\right)$, define $\Lambda_{L}$ to be the set of realvalued functions, $F$, on $[0, T]$, such that $F(0)=0$ and, for all $x, y \in[0, T]$, $|F(x)-F(y)| \leqslant L|x-y|$ (i.e. $F$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition with coefficient $L$ ). If

$$
A=\left\{F \in A_{L}: \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} F\left(t_{i}\right)=1\right\}
$$

is nonvoid (in Section 3 we shall derive a sufficient condition for $A$ to be nonvoid), then the following is known to hold (see [2]):
1.1. Theorem. A has a nucleus the broken line joining the points $\left(0, \theta_{0}\right),\left(t_{1}, \theta_{1}\right)$, $\ldots,\left(t_{m}, \theta_{m}\right)$, where $\theta_{0}=0$ and $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{m}$ are the unique values which render the quantity

$$
\begin{aligned}
B\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{m}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} & \left\{\left(L\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)+\theta_{i}-\theta_{i-1}\right) \ln \left(L\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)+\theta_{i}-\theta_{i-1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(L\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)-\theta_{i}+\theta_{i-1}\right) \ln \left(L\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)-\theta_{i}+\theta_{i-1}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

a minimum, subject to $\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{l} \theta_{l}=1$. (We denote by $\ln$ the natural logarithm.)

In this way, we obtain a nucleus by imposing the sum constraint $\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} F\left(t_{i}\right)=1$. It will be shown in Section 3 that this nucleus maximizes a certain functional over $A$. The question arises: what is the behaviour of the sequence of nuclei arising from a sequence of such sum constraints when the sums are Riemann sums for an integral $\int_{0}^{T} c(t) F(t) d t$ ? It will be shown in Section 4 that the sequence of nuclei converges to a function, $\widetilde{F}$, which satisfies the integral constraint $\int_{0}^{T} c(t) \tilde{F}(t) d t=1$. Furthermore, $\tilde{F}$ satisfies the maximization condition to be proven for the sum case. The question of whether or not $\widetilde{F}$ is the nucleus of the set of functions in $\Lambda_{L}$ satisfying the integral constraint is still open.

## 2. Variational Results

This section contains definitions and technical results to be used in later convergence proofs. Here, we work on an arbitrary real interval, $[a, b]$.

### 2.1. Proposition. If $p$ is an integrable function on $[a, b]$, then

$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a}^{b} p(t) \tanh (\lambda p(t)) d t=\int_{a}^{b}|p(t)| d t
$$

Proof. Fix $\epsilon>0$ and let $I_{1}=\{t \in[a, b]:|p(t)|<\epsilon M / 3\}$, where $M=(b-a)^{-1}$. By integrability, there is a $K$ such that, if $I_{2}=\{t:|p(t)|>K\}$, then $\int_{I_{2}}|p(t)| d t<$ $\epsilon / 3$. For $\lambda>0$, let us look at

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(\lambda) & =\left|\int_{a}^{b} p(t) \tanh (\lambda p(t)) d t-\int_{a}^{b}\right| p(t)|d t| \\
& =\int_{a}^{b}|p(t)|\{1-\tanh (\lambda|p(t)|)\} d t \\
& \leqslant \int_{I_{1}}+\int_{I_{2}}+\int_{I_{3}}, \quad \text { where } I_{3}=[a, b]-I_{2}-I_{1} \\
& \leqslant(b-a) \in M / 3+\epsilon / 3+\int_{I_{3}} \\
& \leqslant 2 \epsilon / 3+K \int_{I_{3}}\{1-\tanh (\lambda \epsilon M / 3)\} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let

$$
\lambda_{0}=3(M \epsilon)^{-1} \operatorname{arctanh} \max \left\{0,\left(1-\frac{M \epsilon}{3 K}\right)\right\} .
$$

Then if $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(\lambda) & \leqslant 2 \epsilon / 3+K \int_{x_{3}}\left\{1-\left(1-\frac{M \epsilon}{3 K}\right)\right\} d t \\
& \leqslant 2 \epsilon / 3+K \frac{M \epsilon}{3 K}(b-a)=\epsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote by $P_{L}$ the set of all Riemann integrable functions, $p$, on $[a, b]$, for which

$$
\int_{a}^{b}|p(t)| d t>1 / L
$$

2.3. Corollary. Let $p \in P_{L}$. Then there is $a \lambda>0$ such that $\int_{a}^{b} p(t) \tilde{f}(t) d t=1$, where $\tilde{f}(t)=L \tanh (\lambda p(t))$.

Proof. $r(\lambda)=L \int_{a}^{b} p(t) \tanh (\lambda p(t)) d t$ is a continuous function of $\lambda$ with $r(0)=0$ and (by 2.1 and 2.2 ) $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} r(\lambda)>1$. The required result then follows from the intermediate value theorem.
Q.E.D.

If $p \in P_{L}$, define $D_{L}{ }^{p}$ to be the set of Riemann integrable functions, $f$, satisfying $|f| \leqslant L$ a.e. and $\int_{a}^{b} p(t) f(t) d t=1$. Then 2.3 shows that $\tilde{f} \in D_{L}{ }^{p}$, so that $D_{L}{ }^{p}$ is nonvoid. For $f \in D_{L}{ }^{p}$, define

$$
H(f)=-\int_{a}^{b}\left\{\frac{L+f(t)}{2 L} \ln \frac{L+f(t)}{2 L}+\frac{L-f(t)}{2 L} \ln \frac{L-f(t)}{2 L}\right\} d t
$$

2.4. Theorem. Let $\tilde{f}$ be as in 2.3. If $f \in D_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{p}$ and $f \neq \tilde{f}$ on a set of positive measure, then $H(f)<H(\tilde{f})$.

Proof. Let $I(w)=H(\tilde{f}+w(f-\tilde{f}))$ for $0 \leqslant w \leqslant 1$. Then

$$
I^{\prime}(w)=-\frac{1}{2 L} \int_{a}^{b}(f-\tilde{f}) \ln \frac{L+\tilde{f}+w(f-\tilde{f})}{L-\tilde{f}-w(f-\tilde{f})} d t
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I^{\prime}(0) & =-\frac{1}{2 L} \int_{a}^{b}(f-\tilde{f}) \ln \frac{1+\tanh (\lambda p)}{1-\tanh (\lambda p)} d t \\
& =-\frac{\lambda}{L} \int_{a}^{b}\{f(t)-\tilde{f}(t)\} p(t) d t \\
& =0, \quad \text { since } f \text { and } \tilde{f} \text { are in } D_{L}{ }^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
I^{\prime \prime}(w)=-\int_{a}^{b} \frac{(f-\tilde{f})^{2}}{L^{2}-\{\tilde{f}+w(f-\tilde{f})\}^{2}} d t<0 \quad(0 \leqslant w<1)
$$

since $|\tilde{f}+w(f-\tilde{f})| \leqslant(1-w)|\tilde{f}|+w|f|<L$ a.e. By the mean value theorem, then, $I(1)<I(0)$.
Q.E.D.
2.5. Corollary. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be fixed with $|\alpha-\beta| \leqslant L(b-a)$, and let $\tilde{F}(t)$ be the straight line joining the points $(a, \alpha)$ and $(b, \beta)$. If $F \neq \tilde{F}$ is any other function joining these two points and satisfying a Lipschitz condition with coefficient $L$, then $H\left(F^{\prime}\right)<H\left(\tilde{F}^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. $F^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{F}^{\prime}$ are in $D_{L}{ }^{p}$ when $p(t) \equiv(\beta-\alpha)^{-1}$. By $2.4, H$ is maximized in $D_{L}{ }^{p}$ for $\tilde{f}(t)=L \tanh (\lambda p(t)) \equiv$ constant. Therefore, $H\left(F^{\prime}\right)$ is maximized when and only when $F$ is linear, i.e., $F=\tilde{F}$.
Q.E.D.

Let us now work on the interval $[0, T]$, where, as before, $T>0$ is fixed. Denote by $C_{L}$ the set of Riemann integrable functions, $c$, on $[0, T]$, satisfying

$$
c(T) \neq 0
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left|\int_{t}^{T} c(s) d s\right| d t>1 / L
$$

Let $A=\left\{F \in A_{L}: \int_{0}^{T} c(t) F(t) d t=1\right\}$. If $F \in A$,

$$
\int_{0}^{T} c(t) F(t) d t=\int_{0}^{T} p(t) F^{\prime}(t) d t
$$

where $p(t)=\int_{t}^{T} c(s) d s \in P_{L}$. Thus we have:
2.9. Proposition. If $F \in A$ then $F^{\prime} \in D_{L}{ }^{p}$. Conversely, if $f \in D_{L}{ }^{p}$ then $F(t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s \in A$. Furthermore, $A$ is nonvoid, since $f($ defined as in 2.3) is in $D_{L}{ }^{p}$. Define $\tilde{F}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \tilde{f}(s) d s$.
2.10. Proposition. If $F \in A$ and $F \neq \widetilde{F}$, then $H\left(F^{\prime}\right)<H\left(\tilde{F}^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. Immediate from 2.4.

## 3. Connection with Nuclei

Let us now relate maximization of $H$, as discussed in the previous section, to the notion of a nucleus.
3.1. Theorem. Let $A$ be as in 1.1, and let $\tilde{F}$ be its nucleus. Then $\tilde{F}$ is the unique element which maximizes $H\left(\widetilde{F}^{\prime}\right)$ over $A$.

Proof. As a consequence of 2.5 , it is enough to consider functions $y_{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}}(t)$ which are broken line functions connecting the points $(0,0)$, $\left(t_{1}, x_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(t_{m}, x_{m}\right)$, where $\left|x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right| \leqslant L\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right) \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, m) \quad$ and
$\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} x_{i}=1$. We must maximize $H\left(y_{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}}^{\prime}\right)$ over all admissible values of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$. But
$H\left(y_{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}}^{\prime}\right)=-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\{\quad\} d t$

$$
\begin{aligned}
=-\frac{1}{2 L} \sum_{i=1}^{m} & \left\{\left(L\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)+x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right) \ln \left(L\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)+x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right)\right. \\
& +\left(L\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)-x_{i}+x_{i-1}\right) \ln \left(L\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)-x_{i}+x_{i-1}\right) \\
& \left.-2\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right) \ln \left(2 L\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)\right)\right\} \\
= & -\frac{1}{2 L} B\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)+\frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right) \ln \left(2 L\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The last sum does not depend on the $x_{i}$. We conclude from 1.1, then, that $H\left(y_{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}}^{\prime}\right)$ is maximized when and only when $y_{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}}=\tilde{F}$.
Q.E.D.

The quantity $H$ being maximized bears a striking resemblance to the "communication entropy" of information theory. What meaningful analogy (if any) can be drawn between the nucleus of a set and maximization of communication entropy is not clear, however.

In the previous section, we rewrote the integral $\int_{0}^{T} c(t) F(t) d t$ in the form $\int_{0}^{T} p(t) F^{\prime}(t) d t$. Sum constraints can also be rewritten in this form, except that $p$ will not be continuous. The appropriate choice is

$$
p(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} u\left(t_{i}-t\right), \quad \text { where } u(s)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { when } s>0 \\ 0 & \text { when } s \leqslant 0\end{cases}
$$

Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T} p(t) F^{\prime}(t) d t & =\sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\left\{\sum_{j=i}^{m} c_{j}\right\} F^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{j} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} F^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j} F\left(t_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $F\left(t_{0}\right)=0$.
This allows us to conclude that $A$ is nonvoid if

$$
\frac{1}{L}<\int_{0}^{T}|p(t)| d t=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{i_{j-1}}^{t_{j}}\left|\sum_{i=j}^{m} c_{i}\right| d t
$$

that is, if

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\sum_{i=j}^{m} c_{i}\right|\left(t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right)>\frac{1}{L}
$$

If 3.2 holds, we can write the nucleus in 1.1 explicitly as

$$
\tilde{F}(t)=L \int_{0}^{t} \tanh \left\{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} u\left(t_{i}-s\right)\right\} d s
$$

where $\lambda$ is chosen so that $\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} \tilde{F}\left(t_{i}\right)=1$ (possible by 2.3 ).

## 4. Convergence

Let $c \in C_{L}$ and let $p(t)=\int_{t}^{T} c(s) d s$. Let

$$
A=\left\{F \in \Lambda_{L}: \int_{0}^{T} c(t) F(t) d t=1\right\}
$$

We say that $\pi=\left\{t_{0}, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right\}$ is a partition of $[0, T]$, if $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\ldots<t_{m-1}<$ $t_{m}=T$; we then write $|\pi|=\max _{1 \leqslant t \leqslant m}\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)$. Now let $\pi_{n}=\left\{t_{0}{ }^{n}, t_{1}{ }^{n}, \ldots, t_{m(n)}^{n}\right\}$ ( $n=1,2, \ldots$; the superscripts are indices) be a sequence of such partitions with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\pi_{n}\right|=0$, and write

$$
A_{n}=\left\{F \in \Lambda_{L}: \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} c\left(t_{i}^{n}\right) F\left(t_{i}^{n}\right)\left(t_{i}^{n}-t_{i-1}^{n}\right)=1\right\}
$$

As we saw in Section 3, the appropriate member of $P_{L}$ for $A_{n}$ is

$$
p_{n}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} c\left(t_{i}^{n}\right)\left(t_{i}^{n}-t_{i-1}^{n}\right) u\left(t_{i}^{n}-t\right)
$$

### 4.1. Theorem. $p_{n}$ converges uniformly to $p$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Reasoning by contradiction, suppose the uniform convergence does not occur. Then there is a $\delta>0$, an increasing sequence, $\left\{n_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$, of positive integers, and a sequence $\left\{s_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of numbers in $[0, T]$, such that $\left|p_{n_{j}}\left(s_{j}\right)-p\left(s_{j}\right)\right|>$ $\delta$. We can assume-taking a further subsequence, if necessary-that $s_{j}$ converges to some $s \in[0, T]$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|p_{n_{j}}(s)-p(s)\right| & \geqslant\left|p_{n_{j}}\left(s_{j}\right)-p\left(s_{j}\right)\right|-\left|p_{n j}(s)-p_{n_{j}}\left(s_{j}\right)\right|-\left|p\left(s_{j}\right)-p(s)\right| \\
& >\delta-\left|p_{n_{j}}(s)-p_{n_{j}}\left(s_{j}\right)\right|-\left|p\left(s_{j}\right)-p(s)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us now prove the following.
Lemma. $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left|p_{n j}(s)-p_{n j}\left(s_{j}\right)\right|=0$.

Fix $\epsilon>0$. By integrability, there is a $K>0$ such that, if

$$
I=\{t \in[0, T]:|c(t)|>K\}, \quad \text { then } \int_{I}|c(t)| d t<\epsilon / 4
$$

With $K$ and $I$ thus defined, let

$$
c_{1}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { when } t \in I \\
c(t) & \text { otherwise }
\end{array} \text { and } \quad c_{2}(t)= \begin{cases}c(t) & \text { when } t \in I \\
0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}\right.
$$

Now, there exists a $J_{1}$ such that $j>J_{1}$ implies

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m(n)}\left|c_{2}\left(t_{i}^{n_{j}}\right)\right|\left(t_{i}^{n_{J}}-t_{i-1}^{n_{J}}\right)<2 \int_{0}^{T}\left|c_{2}(t)\right| d t<\epsilon / 2 .
$$

This is because the sum is a Riemann sum for the integral.
For each $j$, denote by $i(j)$ the smallest index, $v$, for which $\min \left(s_{j}, s\right)<t_{\nu}^{n J} \leqslant$ $\max \left(s_{j}, s\right)$; and by $k(j)$, the largest. Then (with $h_{i}=t_{i}^{n_{j}}-t_{i-1}^{n_{j}}$ ),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|p_{n_{j}}(s)-p_{n j}\left(s_{j}\right)\right| & \leqslant \sum_{i=i(j)}^{k(j)}\left|c\left(t_{i}^{n j}\right)\right| h_{i} \\
& =\sum_{i=i(j)}^{k(j)}\left|c_{1}\left(t_{i}^{n j}\right)\right| h_{i}+\sum_{i=i(j)}^{k(j)}\left|c_{2}\left(t_{i}^{n j}\right)\right| h_{i} \\
& \leqslant K \sum_{i=i(j)}^{k(j)} h_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|c_{2}\left(t_{i}^{n j}\right)\right| h_{i} \\
& \leqslant K\left(t_{i(j)}^{n j}-t_{i(j)-1}^{n j}\right)+K\left|s-s_{j}\right|+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon, \quad \text { if } j>J_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $s_{j} \rightarrow s$, there is a $J_{2}$, such that $j>J_{2}$ implies $\left|s-s_{j}\right|<\epsilon /(4 K)$. Since $\left|\pi_{n}\right| \rightarrow 0$, there is a $J_{3}$, such that $\left|\pi_{n j}\right|<\epsilon /(4 K)$ whenever $j>J_{3}$. Thus, if $i>\max \left(J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}\right)$, then

$$
\left|p_{n_{j}}(s)-p_{n_{j}}\left(s_{j}\right)\right|<[K \epsilon /(4 K)]+[K \epsilon /(4 K)]+\epsilon / 2=\epsilon .
$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.
By the lemma, there is an $M_{1}$ such that $\left|p_{n_{j}}(s)-p_{n_{j}}\left(s_{j}\right)\right|<\delta / 4$ whenever $j>M_{1}$. By the continuity of an integral with respect to the limits of integration, there is an $M_{2}$ for which $j>M_{2}$ implies $\left|p\left(s_{j}\right)-p(s)\right|<\delta / 4$. Consequently, $\left|p_{n_{j}}(s)-p(s)\right|>\delta / 2$ whenever $j>\max \left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)$. But $p_{n_{j}}(s)(j=1,2, \ldots)$ is a sequence of Riemann sums for $\int_{0}^{T} c_{0}(t) d t=p(s)$, where

$$
c_{0}(t)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } t<s \\ c(t) & \text { for } t \geqslant s\end{cases}
$$

Thus, we have a contradiction.
Q.E.D.

The last theorem has the following interpretation: If $c$ is Riemann integrable and $\left\{\pi_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of partitions with $\left|\pi_{n}\right| \rightarrow 0$, and if $R_{n}(t)$ is the Riemann sum for $\int_{t}^{T} c(s) d s$, formed by restricting $\pi_{n}$ to $[t, T]$, then the convergence of $R_{n}(t)$ to $\int_{t}^{T} c(s) d s$ is uniform in $t$.
4.2. Corollary. $A_{n}$ is nonvoid for all sufficiently large $n$.

Proof. By assumption, $\int_{0}^{T}|p(t)| d t>1 / L$, and by 4.1,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left|p_{n}(t)\right| d t=\int_{0}^{T}|p(t)| d t
$$

The conclusion then follows from 2.3 and from the results of Section 3.
Q.E.D.

In what follows, assume $\left\{\pi_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has been purged of any members for which $A_{n}$ is empty.
4.3. Theorem. If $\tilde{F}_{n}$ is the nucleus of $A_{n}(n=1,2, \ldots)$, then $\tilde{F}_{n}$ converges uniformly to

$$
\tilde{F}(t)=L \int_{0}^{t} \tanh (\lambda p(s)) d s
$$

where $\lambda$ is chosen so that

$$
\int_{0}^{T} c(t) \tilde{F}(t) d t=1
$$

Proof. The theorem follows from 4.1 and the representation

$$
\tilde{F}_{n}(t)=L \int_{0}^{t} \tanh \left(\lambda_{n} p_{n}(s)\right) d s
$$

where $\lambda_{n}$ is chosen so that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} c\left(t_{i}^{n}\right) \tilde{F}_{n}\left(t_{i}^{n}\right)\left(t_{i}^{n}-t_{i-1}^{n}\right)=1
$$

Q.E.D.
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